

record, other than the double patenting rejection ...” The Examiner further states that a “timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application.”

Applicants enclose a terminal disclaimer to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-12 over the claims 1-11 of the '995 patent. Applicants request, therefore, that this rejection be withdrawn.

Applicants believe that the application is in condition for allowance and respectfully request the same.

Respectfully submitted,

Yunlong Sun and Edward J. Swenson

By Michael L. Levine

Michael L. Levine

Registration No. 33,947

STOEL RIVES LLP
900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300
Portland, Oregon 97204-1268
Telephone: (503) 224-3380
Facsimile: (503) 220-2480
Attorney Docket No. 26860/33:43 D USA